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Thesis Proposal  

“We Should be Like Water, Choosing the Lowest Place Which All Others Avoid:”  

John Steinbeck as a Modern Messenger of Lao-Tzu’s *Tao Te Ching*  

John Steinbeck’s *Cannery Row*, written in 1944, is virtually plotless, highly metaphorical, and interspersed with chapters that seem both irrelevant and unnecessary. Due to rumors that the book was Communist propaganda, Steinbeck was essentially blacklisted, and copies of the book were burnt in protest. Steinbeck’s fellow residents of Monterey, California, which served as the backdrop for the controversial text, lashed out against him. Yet, despite harsh criticism, Steinbeck offered no explanation or defense for the text and instead, quietly relocated to New York. The meaning of the text remains a mystery for scholars and Steinbeck readers alike.  

In 1975, Peter Lisca revealed in a short article, “*Cannery Row* and the *Tao Te Ching*,” what should have been a groundbreaking revelation about the meaning of *Cannery Row*. He argues that the text demonstrates morality through the philosophy of Lao Tzu’s *Tao Te Ching*. Lisca asserts that the characters in the text partake in non-action and non-materialism, the basic elements of Taoism. However, Lisca’s apparent postulation that his readers would be familiar with the ancient Taoist text was possibly a faulty assumption, and thus his argument was substantially overlooked until 2002 when Michael Meyer again suggested a relationship between Taoist philosophy and the Steinbeck canon. This time, Meyer suggested that Steinbeck’s *Sea of Cortez* is a
“reflection of the balance advocated in Lao Tzu’s Tao Te Ching” (17). Meyer, unlike Lisca, relates excerpts of the log to passages from the Tao Te Ching.

For my thesis, I propose to expand Lisca’s argument by discussing additional themes of Taoism in Cannery Row that have not previously been explored. By emulating the methodology adopted by Meyer in his similar comparative analysis, I will compare passages from Cannery Row to those of the Tao Te Ching. In addition, I will include the Taoist principles apparent in Steinbeck's unfinished, unpublished, essentially unstudied early version of Cannery Row, the three chapters of The God in the Pipes. This text, which was released to the small reader audience of the Steinbeck Newsletter in 1995, will reinforce my interpretation of Steinbeck as an author of Taoist ideas. Since this recently discovered text encompasses many of the same Taoist themes as Cannery Row itself, I will bring new insight to both Meyer and Lisca’s assertions. Both contend that Steinbeck was first introduced to Taoism in 1942 with the publication of Lin Yutang’s translation of The Wisdom of China and India.

I will argue, however, that Steinbeck became acquainted with Taoism at least as early as 1940, the year he wrote The God in the Pipes, and thus was familiar with an earlier translation of the Tao Te Ching. I contend that Steinbeck studied Ed Ricketts’s personal copy of Laotzu’s Tao and Wu Wei, which was translated by Dwight Goddard in 1939. In fact, Ricketts’s fascination with Laotzu’s Tao and Wu Wei may have been Steinbeck’s inspiration for writing The God in the Pipes, the text which later set the thematic foundation for Cannery Row.

I do not intend to prove that Steinbeck was himself a practitioner of Taoism. However, Taoism interested him, and more importantly, intrigued his only real friend, Ed
Ricketts, to whom he dedicated *Cannery Row* by writing, “For Ed Ricketts: who knows why or should.” *Cannery Row* and its predecessor are visions of how Taoism bares its face in our modern world, a world filled with people who appear to be less than honorable. Steinbeck reveals that Taoism is unknowingly encompassed by these everyday citizens; that its preachings are demonstrated by the actions of people who choose to give up materialism and greed to follow a simple path through life; by the people that we, as a society, often characterize as bums and louses. Lao Tzu instructs that a teacher of Taoism should not, in fact, directly teach at all, but should instead show by example. Steinbeck did just this when he revealed the fictional world of *Cannery Row*, where “whores, pimps, gamblers and sons of bitches” are, in actuality, “saints and angels and martyrs and holy men” (1).

In chapter one, I will introduce Ed Ricketts’s fascination with Taoism, and how he continuously influenced and inspired Steinbeck in his own study of philosophy. I will use letters and diaries to discuss the significance of Steinbeck’s relationship with Ricketts, to whom *Cannery Row* is dedicated and who is represented in *Cannery Row* by the character of Doc Ricketts. This friendship will serve as a motive for Steinbeck to write the Taoist texts.

Chapter two will expand and intensify Peter Lisca’s assertion that *Cannery Row* is a modern retelling of Taoist philosophies. In chapter three, I will provide one of the first analyses of *The God in the Pipes*, by relating its Taoist themes to those evident in *Cannery Row*. To analyze both texts, I will emulate the methodology used by Michael Meyer in his own comparative analysis of one of Steinbeck’s works and the *Tao Te Ching*. 
Chapter four will explain the larger significance of my argument. I will address how my study benefits Steinbeck scholars as well as validating how my findings relate to the current beliefs and criticisms of Taoism in modern America. Steinbeck’s writings bring Taoism back to its roots, back to the belief that to teach Taoism is contemptuous, but to show Taoism is the work of a sage. The very fact that Steinbeck did not defend the accusations brought against him at the publication of *Cannery Row* may, itself, be an example of “non-defense,” an important element of Taoist philosophy.
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